## Best tasks form BCC

## Chemistry for year and bit more!!!

Baltic chemistry competition has been provided to school students for last four years, but seems that year 2013 was last year for this competition. There are two main reasons for this, first of all interest from students were small, especially from students coming from Baltic States - Latvia, Lithuania and Estonia. Second reason, people who initiated this project back in 2008 are now involved in other activities.

Problems which were most interesting and may be bit strange and hard for students are selected as best problems of this competition. Enjoy these tasks and grade yourself as solutions are available at the end of this file.
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## Proбfem 1

## Searching for secrets of Superman

We all know what term kryptonite is related to; there is no clear definition for that. This material is usually shown as having been created from the remains of Superman's native planet of Krypton, and generally has detrimental effects on Superman and other Kryptonians. The name "Kryptonite" covers a variety of forms of the substance, but usually refers to the most common "green" form.

In one of "Supermans" episodes it is given as " element 126" in periodic table, which in reality corresponds to unbihexium/ekaplutonium and is called Kryptonium. Kryptonium has a radioactive half-life of 250000 years.

1. Calculate radioactive decay rate constant of Kryptonium.
2. Calculate, after what time only $10 \%$ of starting kryptonium will remain.

In other episode there is another idea for what kryptonite is:
The chemical composition for the Kryptonite according to "Superman III" is Plutonium: 15.08\%, Tantalum: 18.06\%, Xenon: 27.71\%, Promethium: 24.02\%, Dialium: 10.62\%, Mercury: 3.94\%, Unknown: 0.57\%.
3. Calculate the empirical formula of Kryptonite in "Superman III", assuming that Dialium is a particle that contains two aluminum atoms, and the unknown element is hydrogen. Is there is a possible mineral for this formula? Substantiate your answer.

Another version of what is kryptonite is mentioned in "Superman Returns". There are some screenshots from this movie that shows chemical composition and appearance of this mineral:


There was no mineral with such composition until the movie was presented, but after that, in November 2006, a mineral Jadarite was discovered in Serbia, Jadar Valley. Its chemical formula is sodium lithium boron silicate hydroxide: $\mathrm{LiNaSiB}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{OH})$ or $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OLi}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Although there is no fluorine in this mineral and it is white rather than green (see picture below), it made a small sensation due to its noticeable similarity with kryptonite. The jadarite fluoresces in a pinkish-orange color when exposed to UV light.
4. Explain how fluorine can be bound in jadarite. In what other mineral same situation with fluorine is observed?

Jadarite crystallizes in hard monoclinic crystals; space group is $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ with lattice parameters $\mathrm{a}=6.76421(7) \AA, \mathrm{b}=$ 13.8047 (1) $\AA, \mathrm{c}=7.86951$ ( 9 ) $\AA$, and $\beta=124.0895(5)^{\circ}[1]$.
5. Calculate the volume of jadarite unit cell and density of jadarite (in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ if crystal structure [1] of it is shown on the right).

The most intensive diffraction reflexes are shown in table (relative intensity, and d-spacing) [2].

| d-spacing (Å) | Relative intensity |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4.666 | 62 |
| 3.716 | 39 |
| 3.180 | 82 |
| 3.152 | 74 |
| 3.027 | 40 |
| 2.946 | 100 |
| 2.252 | 38 |


6. Use Bragg's equation to calculate positions of $1^{\text {st }}$ order diffraction reflexes in 2-Ө scale( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) if $\mathrm{Cu} K_{\alpha}$ radiation with the wavelength 0.15418 nm is used.


Possible jadarite PXRD patterns
7. If it is possible from data given above, please, select the right powder diffraction (PXRD) pattern from figure in previous page which corresponds to jadarite. All patterns are done with Bruker D8 Advance diffractomter using $\mathrm{Cu} K_{\alpha}$ radiation.


Jadarite


Hydrated copper uranyl phosphate

There is a real mineral what is green and radioactive. Its chemical composition is: $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot(8-12) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (hydrated copper uranyl phosphate).

On the right side of the page the decay chain of uranium-238 (main isotope of natural uranium) is shown. Assume, that process ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow{ }^{234} \mathrm{U}$ is one step process with the rate constant the same as for ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow{ }^{234} \mathrm{Th}$. In natural uranium nowadays the abundance of isotopes is ${ }^{238} U-99.2742 \%,{ }^{235} U-0.7204 \%$ and ${ }^{234} U-0.0054 \%$. Thoriu
8. Write down all the reactions and classify them by the type of decay (alpha, beta or gamma).

Alchemist Daniel Rebuss took 5.00 g of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot(8-12) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (hydrated copper uranyl phosphate) and determined that it loses $20.6 \%$ of mass by heating. Let's assign amount of uranium atoms in this ore with $\mathrm{N}_{0}$.
9. Calculate $\mathrm{N}_{0}$.
10. Construct the graph to show how the amounts of ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U},{ }^{234} \mathrm{U},{ }^{230} \mathrm{Th},{ }^{226} \mathrm{Ra}$ and ${ }^{222} \mathrm{Rn}$ in this sample will change during the next million years.

For your calculations you can use computer program "Kinet" from IChO 2007 (Moscow, Russia), program "Kinetics" (available in http://lu.lv/skoleniem/kim/konkurss/2009/, created by Mihails Arhangelskis) or create your own calculation spreadsheet, for example, in MS Excel. Graphs can be saved as screenshots (use Print Screen button).
11. Find the time $t_{\operatorname{max1}}$, when there is maximum number of ${ }^{230}$ Th atoms in the sample of
 Daniel Rebuss.

Now, assume that you have pure ${ }^{234} \mathrm{U}$ isotope. In situations like this tone can use equations given below for calculation of the amount of particles in left (?) time:

$$
N\left({ }^{230} T h\right)=N\left({ }^{234} U\right)_{o} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}-k_{1}}\left(e^{-k_{1} t}-e^{-k_{2} t}\right)
$$

And its derivative:

$$
\frac{d N\left({ }^{230} T h\right)}{d t}=N\left({ }^{234} U\right)_{o} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}-k_{1}}\left(-k_{1} e^{-k_{1} t}+k_{2} e^{-k_{2} t}\right)
$$

12. Using formulas given above calculate the time $t_{\max 2}$ when there is the maximum number of ${ }^{230}$ Th atoms in the sample.
13. Explain the differences between $t_{\max 1}$ and $t_{\text {max } 2}$ !

## Scientific references

1. P. S. Whitfield, Y. Le Page, J. D. Grice, C. J. Stanley et.al. $\mathrm{LiNaSiB}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{OH})$ - novel structure of the new borosilicate mineral jadarite determined from laboratory powder diffraction data, Acta Cryst. (2007). B63, 396-401
2. Web page: mindat.org - the mineral and locality database Available online: http://www.mindat.org/min-31570.html [07.07.2009.],Copyright Jolyon Ralph and Ida Chau 1993-2009

## Proбfem 2

## Everyone Coves spectra

Colour of a firework or a flame in a flame test is an evidence of photon emission at frequency range 430-750 THz. Let's find out how emission happens in alkaline elements atoms.

When the electrons in the atom are excited (by heating for example), they jump to higher energy levels. As the electrons fall back down, energy is re-emitted, the wavelength of which refers to the discrete lines of the emission spectrum. The photon energy due to an electron transition between an exited atomic level $k$ (of energy $E_{k}$ ) and a lower level $i$ is $\Delta E=E_{k}-E_{i}=h v=h c / \lambda$, where $v$ is the frequency, and $\lambda$ the wavelength. Since a photon carries energy and angular momentum (1 unit), any electronic transition from one allowed energy level to another must conserve energy and angular momentum for the atom-photon system. These restrictions are known as selection rules and they are, $\Delta S=0, \Delta L= \pm 1$, and $\Delta J=0, \pm 1$, with $J=0$ to $J=0$ forbidden. Allowed transition between electronic states in alkaline elements atoms obeys these selection rules.


Energy level diagram

1. Schematic presentation of energy levels - energy level diagram, is shown. Mark allowed transitions by arrows which lead to $2 s$ and $2 p$ configurations.
All the allowed transitions may be easily calculated, if electronic configuration energies are known. Persistent lines in the visible spectrum of alkaline elements mainly due prior to transitions between $n p^{1},(n+1) p^{1}$, and $n s^{1}$ configuration. The energy of corresponding levels of an upper electron may be obtained by: $E=-13.6 \cdot Z_{\text {eff }}^{2} / n^{2}$, where $Z_{\text {eff }}$ is the effective nuclear charge $\left(Z_{\text {eff }}<Z\right)$, and $n$ is the principal quantum number. Value of Li ionization energy ( 5.3917 eV ) may be used to estimate $Z_{\text {eff }}\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$ ). $Z_{\text {eff }}$ value for an electron on 2 p and 3 p levels in Li atom is 0.25 and 0.75 units lower than $Z_{\text {eff }}(2 s)$, respectively. $Z_{\text {eff }}(n s)$ in other alkaline elements atoms increased by 0.485 in the transition to the next period. Similarly $Z_{\text {eff }}(n p)$ and $Z_{\text {eff }}((n+1) p)$ increased by 0.389 and $0.114 .{ }^{1}$
2. Calculate photon wavelength due to an electron transition between $n p$ or $(n+1) p$ and ns levels of alkaline elements from Li to Cs.
Calculated values may be now used to predict the colours of the alkali compounds in firework or flame. Assume, that calculated values are differ from experimental data by $\pm 100 \mathrm{~nm}$ in average except for lithium.
3. In visible spectra of Li is another persistent line (610 nm). Which transition does it belong to?

[^0]4. Compounds of which alkali elements give colour to yellow, red and violet firework?

More information about this problem: http://eko.olunet.org/2010/01/15/lost-in-translation/

## Proбlem 3

## Unknown highly flammable gas

Compound $\mathbf{A}$ is a colorless highly flammable gas containing $21.7 \%$ of Hydrogen by mass (use atomic masses with one decimal place). It undergoes pyrolysis at temperatures above $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The process is very complicated, but the first steps can be described by the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \xrightarrow{\text { fast }} 2\{B\} \\
& A+\{B\} \xrightarrow{\text { fast }}\{C\} \\
& \{C\} \xrightarrow[\text { rate determining step }]{\longrightarrow} D+H_{2} \\
& \{B\}+\{D\} \rightarrow E \\
& A+\{D\} \rightarrow\{B\}+E \rightarrow F+H_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Compounds in brackets $\}$ are unstable intermediates.

1) What is compound $\mathbf{A}$ ? Draw its structure and describe the bonding in its molecule.
2) Determine the formulae of compounds $\boldsymbol{B}-\boldsymbol{F}$.
3) At room temperature $\boldsymbol{B}$ dimerises into $\boldsymbol{A}$. Why does not $\boldsymbol{B}$ exist in monomer form?

## Problem 4

## Sulfur containing gases

A 5.00 L closed container was filled with $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ( $70 \%$ of total volume) and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $30 \%$ of total volume) gases, the temperature inside the container was kept at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\mathrm{SO}_{2}+0.5 \mathrm{O}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ reaction occurs at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

1. What will be the final volume of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in that container after the equilibrium is reached?
1.00 L of water was added into the same container keeping it still closed.
2. Write the reaction that occurs upon the addition of water? What is the pH of the resulting solution?
3. What would be the pH of the solution if the temperature inside the container (during the addition of the gases??) would be at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ? After adding water the solution is cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
4. What would be the pH of the solution if the initial proportion of gases is $30 \%\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$ and $70 \%\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ of the total volume (at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )?
5. Is it possible change concentration of hydrogen ions in solution to be two times higher or lower than in part 2 by changing temperature or initial proportion of gases? If so then how? Show the calculations.

|  | $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\circ} /\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | -296.83 | 248.22 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ | -395.72 | 256.76 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0 | 205.138 |

All required constants can be found online or in handbooks. References should be given to the source of information.

## ProбГem 5

Bless you!
If too many drugs are provided against one illness, then it means that this illness cannot be healed.

## Scheme 1



Write the structures for compounds A-E.
Do you agree that in case when nitrogen atom carries three different substituents it is chiral, because of its lone electron pair that plays the role of the forth substituent? Why?

## Scheme 2



Write the structures for compounds A-E, X.
Write down the structures for all of the compound $B$ stereoisomers and assign configurations at their stereocenters.

## Proбfem 6

## Quantum observations due to laser cooling

All the elementary particles can be divided into two groups: bosons and fermions. The main difference between them is that several bosons can occupy the same quantum state, whereas fermions cannot due to Pauli exclusion principle. A decent chemist should already have understood (if he had not known it earlier) that electrons are fermions, because their spin is $1 / 2$ just as the spin of neutrons and protons. The easiest way to determine whether composite particles, eg nuclei, atoms, are fermions or bosons, is to calculate the number of fermions in a particle. If it is even, then the particle is a boson, otherwise it is a fermion. Thus, the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ atom is a boson as well as the nucleus of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$.

In 2001, the Nobel Prize in Physics was given to Eric Cornell and Carl Wieman from University of Colorado at Boulder, and Wolfgang Ketterle from MIT for achieving the so-called Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) - a state of matter, where quantum properties can be observed at macro scale. Currently, one of the many research trends in BEC is an effortto observe how the chemical reactions are happening in such state.

The first two scientists used the monatomic gas of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atoms, the third - worked with monatomic gas of ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$ atoms.

1. Why ${ }^{87} R b$ and ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$ atoms are bosons, despitetheir mass numbers beingcertainly odd (noteven)? Can you state the simplest method fortelling if the atom is a boson?

The main problem of getting BEC is that the atoms have to be cooled down to temperatures near the absolute zero, because then we can assume that all the particles collapse into the lowest energy state and become indistinguishable.

Nowadays scientists usually use laser cooling. To understand how it works, first we have to remember that light is also made of matter (photons), which can hit an atom similarly as tennis balls can hit a bowling ball going in an opposite direction and eventually stop it. Suppose that we have confined atoms, which we want to cool down, in one dimension. Then, we need two lasers in total: one that hits the atoms going forward and the other thathits the atoms going back.
2. How many lasers do we need to cool atoms in three dimensions?
3. Calculate the average translational kinetic energy of a monoatomic ideal gas atom of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ and ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$ at $25.00^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

While cooling ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$, scientists managed to achieve an impressive temperature of $\mathbf{1 7 0 . 0 n K}$. Due to the very weak interaction in BEC, the kinetic theory of gases still works pretty well at such temperatures.
4. What is the root mean square speed of rubidium atoms at such temperature?

To cool the atoms, the wavelength of the laser light is adjusted to correspond to the difference between the most possible excitation and the ground states. The commonly used wavelength of the laser beam used for cooling ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ is 780.0 nm at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
5. Calculate the corresponding energy of a laser beam of 780.0 nm .
6. Which electron excitation does it correspond to?

In real laboratory laser cooling, the Doppler Effect has to be taken into account as well. Usually the frequency of the laser is lowered and then only the atoms going in opposite direction of the laser beam are slowed down.
7. Show how the formula for calculating the Doppler Shift in frequency used for laser cooling is derived:

$$
f_{\text {laser }}=\frac{f_{\text {atom }}}{\left(1+\frac{v_{\text {atom }}}{c}\right)}
$$

8. What is the change in frequency of the laser due to the Doppler Effect in this transition? This change is also called detuning.

## Proбfem 7

## Chemical rebuss

A yellow and very poisonous chemical substance Ais sometimes said to belong to the same group of binary polyatomic compounds as substances $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{M}$. It can be prepared by reacting two concentrated acids $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$. Yellow gas E and water are produced as a byproduct. Although the mixture of these two acids has been known for quite some time, there reaction product A was described only in 1831 . Alternative production method for $\mathbf{A}$ would be a reaction between gasses E and $\mathbf{D}$, which doesnot produce any byproducts. However, this reaction is reversible at high temperatures. Gas $\mathbf{D}$ is produced by reacting a reddish transitional metal I with diluted acid $\mathbf{C}$. If you use concentrated acid in this reaction you would get brown gas $\mathbf{N}$ instead of $\mathbf{D}$. A blue salt Jis produced in both cases. Gas $\mathbf{N}$ reacts with water to produce acids $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ simultaneously. Acid $\mathbf{F}$ upon reacting with acid $\mathbf{G}$ produces compound $\mathbf{H}$, which in turn reacts with acid $\mathbf{B}$ to give compound $\mathbf{A}$ and acid $\mathbf{G}$. Compound $\mathbf{H}$ canbe considered as an anhydride of both acids. Gas Eis made in small quantities by reacting $\mathbf{B}$ with potassium permanganate. This gas can also be used to produce $\mathbf{L}$ in a reaction with a sodium salt of a weak acid $\mathbf{K}$, which has a faint almond smell. Compound Mis produced by thermal decomposition of a mercury (II) salt of acid $\mathbf{K}$ and it is symmetrical. Acid $\mathbf{G}$ upon reacting with barium chloride solution gives white precipitate. In air, gas Dis oxidized to $\mathbf{N}$.

1. Identify compounds A-N
2. A 2.0 L container was filled with 1 molof gas $\mathbf{A}$ at 400 K temperature. Calculate the concentrations of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}$, and $\mathbf{E}$ at the equilibrium point.
3. At which temperature the molar fraction ofA equals to 0.01 ?

## Proбfem 8

## Some organic mechanisms

Wright plausible mechanisms for the following reactions:




Ketones $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are readily interconverted upon treatment with base. Write mechanism which explains following transformation.


## Problem 9

## Quite symmetric product

Compounds $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{C}$ possess a mirror symmetry while substances $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ are even more symmetrical.


Write the structures of compounds A-E.

## Probfem 10

## Chane $\mathcal{N}^{\circ} 5$ (not the same problem as in $\mathcal{B C h O}$ )

In 1937 proposed theory about how the smell works proposes that nose reacts to vibrations of different frequencies, just like our eyes or ears do.

1) How does the nose react to frequences and is able to identify so many different fragrances?

Since you already know how materials create the sense of smell,

2) Why some volatile substances does not have smell?

This theory makes parfumers work quite easy. Once the mechanism is understood, any fragrance and smell can be made synthetically to such accurate that human nose is unable to distinct original smell from artificial one. Now I suggest you would try on beign parfumer.

3) Identify spectra and the compound. Offer three more compounds that would have essentialy the same smell as the given. Also provide its synthesis pathways using alkanes as starting materials.


Even if this sounds very simple it gets more complicated when we are creating perfumes. They ussually have complex smells with different notes and different strenght of particular notes. Thus to make a good perfume, one must take into account the strenght of smell.
4) Why particular molecules (for example, vanilline, indole, thiols, etc.) smell very strongly while other do not?
Unfortunately, our nose is not the best tool in indentifying unknown materials, which we make in laboratory, thus, we need to be able use other, greater tools which are H NMR and C NMR for example. These two techniques make possible to indentify almost any organic structure. Therefore, it is crucial that every chemist would know how to use this powerful tool.
5) You are given the starting material and a chain of reaction and spectra of every intermediate product. Identify X 's and assign spectra.



$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}
$$



$X_{2}$


## $X_{3}$



$X_{4}$



## $X_{5}$




$$
X_{6}
$$




## Problem 11

## Balance equation!

Given the imbalanced chemical equation summarizing the experimentally observed facts for the production of perchloric acid:
$\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}+\mathrm{HNO}_{3}+\mathrm{HCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{HClO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
a) Balance the equation in such a way, that the sum of positive, integer coefficients would be minimal, i.e. with smallest possible whole number (including zero) coefficients.
b) Balance the equation in such a way, that it would satisfy experimentally estimated $\mathrm{HClO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ratio of 9:1.

## Problem 12

## Redox reactions

The explanation of redox reaction is often based on standard electrode potentials. In most cases this concept results in correct conclusions, but it is important to know that the electrode potential depends on other circumstances as well.
a) Consider the following galvanic cells. What redox reactions take place? Why?
i. Standard $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+} / \mathrm{Ni}$ and standard $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}$ half-cells
ii. $\quad$ Standard $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+} / \mathrm{Ni}$ half-cell, and $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}$ half-cell at $p H=11$
iii. $\quad \mathrm{Ni}^{2+} / \mathrm{Ni}$ half-cell at $\mathrm{pH}=11$, and standard $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}$ half-cell
iv. Following half-cells at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

1) $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{s})$ in 1.00 L solution containing 5.814 g of $\mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$
2) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{s})$ in 1.00 L solution containing 158.0 mg of $\mathrm{NiSO}_{4}$ and 200.0 mg of NaCN .
b) Consider the following half-cells at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :
3) $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{s})$ in a solution that has 25.02 mg of $\mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$ dissolved in 100.0 mL of distilled water and 20.00 mL of KI solution ( $\mathrm{c}=0,2100 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) was added.
4) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{s})$ in 0.1000 L solution that has a $1.00 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ concentration of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$.

Calculate the mass of NaOH added to $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ electrolyte, if there is no potential difference between the two halfcells.
The volume contraction is negligible.
$\varepsilon^{0}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{2+} / \mathrm{Ni}\right)=-0,23 \mathrm{~V}, \varepsilon^{0}\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}\right)=-0,40 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{pL}\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]=13,5 ; \mathrm{pL}\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]=17,19 ;$
$\lg \beta_{4}\left(\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right]^{--}\right)=31,11 ; \lg \beta_{1}\left(\left[\mathrm{CdI}_{4}\right]^{--}\right)=2,48 ; \lg \beta_{2}\left(\left[\mathrm{CdI}_{4}\right]^{2^{-}-}\right)=3,92 ; \lg B_{3}\left(\left[\mathrm{CdI}_{4}\right]^{2^{-}}\right)=5,00 ; \lg \beta_{4}\left(\left[\mathrm{Cdl}_{4}\right]^{]^{-}}\right)=6,10$.

## Probfem 13

## Bad smell

Aqueous hydrogen sulfide is a common reagent, but it has an unpleasant smell. Its first acidic dissociation constant is generally accepted to be $K_{\mathrm{a} 1}=10^{-6,9}$. The second dissociation constant is at least three orders of magnitude smaller. The solubility of hydrogen sulfide in water is $4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$.

1. What is the pH of a saturated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ solution? When increasing the pH of the saturated solution, what will be the pH when $99 \%$ of the hydrogen sulfide is in HS form?
The second dissociation constant has a much more contested value. Older textbooks contain $K_{\mathrm{a} 2}=10^{-13}-10^{-14}$.
2. Using $10^{-14}$ as the constant, what percentage of the hydrogen sulfide would be in sulfide ion form in solutions containing $5 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$?
Practical considerations show that these constant values are probably inaccurate. Spectroscopical measurements showed that while making $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ solutions more basic, the $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$-ion concentration does not significantly decrease until a $5 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$concentration is reached. (Oxygen was carefully removed from the samples.)
3. Supposing that a $1 \%$ concentration decrease could be detected, give an estimate for the second dissociation constant based on this experiment.
Strongly basic solutions are not really practical for dissociation constant determinations.
4. Why?

The precipitation of metal sulfides is a phenomenon that can be more helpful in the estimation. Qualitative analysis says that a sulfide precipitate forms on adding saturated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ solution to $\operatorname{tin}(\mathrm{II})$ solution acidified with a few drops of reagent acid, but no precipitation is observed with zinc solutions.
5. Estimate the second dissociation constant supposing that
i) the metal concentration in the original solution is $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$
ii) acidification is carried out with nitric acid giving $c\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)=0.2 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ in the original metal soln
iii) identical volumes are mixed from the original metal and the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ solution
iv) $\mathrm{pL}(\mathrm{ZnS})=22,9 \mathrm{pL}(\mathrm{SnS})=26,0$.

It is interesting that without acidification ZnS precipitates in the same experiment.
6. What will be the pH of the resulting solution?

## Problem 14 <br> Complex compound

Organometallic compounds act as catalysts in many important industrial processes. In this task you will determine the identity of one such compound, using various spectroscopic data, and then investigate its derivative, which is thought to be even better suited for industrial applications, due to its thermal stability.

Metal M makes an organometallic complex $\mathbf{A}$, which, when added to a popular organic solvent $\mathbf{B}$ gives a yellow solution.
When a well-known compound $\mathbf{C}$ is dissolved in the solvent $\mathbf{B}$, it gives a purple solution. This solution of $\mathbf{C}$ is added to compound $\mathbf{A}$, to produce an emerald green solution of $\mathbf{D}$, which has $\mathbf{C}$ as one of its ligands. A neutral molecule $\mathbf{E}$ is also produced in this reaction.

1. Metal $\mathbf{M}$ was examined using X-ray diffraction. The first diffraction peak at is due to a $\{111\}$ reflection at $2 \theta=$ $41.11^{\circ}$. The X-ray wavelength is $1.542 \AA$.
a. Write down the Bragg's law and calculate the $\{111\}$ plane spacing. Based on your result, calculate the lattice parameter.
b. Given that $\mathbf{M}$ has an fcc structure and a density of $12.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, identify the metal.
2. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR spectra were obtained for compounds $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. The following peaks were obtained for $\mathbf{B}: 137.8$; 129.2; 128.4; 125.5; 21.4 ppm.

The spectrum of $\mathbf{C}$ only showed one signal at 143.5 ppm .
a. Given that $\mathbf{C}$ contains one element only, deduce $\mathbf{C}$ 's identity.
b. Give the structure of the common organic solvent $\mathbf{B}$ and assign as many signals as you can. What is the trivial name for $\mathbf{B}$ ?
3. Compound $\mathbf{A}$ was examined using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The following signals were obtained:
-9.50 ppm (minus 9.50 ) (quartet, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}, 14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$; three signals from 7.08 to 7.88 ppm (multiplets, total 45H).
An IR spectrum of A has a sharp absorption at $1920 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
a. Using the information provided, deduce the structure of $\mathbf{A}$, and explain your reasoning.
b. Hence deduce the structure of $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$.
c. Given that both the complexes are coloured, propose a method for determining concentrations of their solutions. What additional information do you need for that?

## Best tasks form BCC - SOLUTIONS

## SOLUTION - Problem 1

1. Radioactive decays are $1^{\text {st }}$ order reactions, so:
$\ln \frac{C_{0}}{C}=k t$
If $t=t$ (half-life) $=250000$ years, then $\mathrm{C}=0,5 \mathrm{C}_{0}$.
$\ln 2=k \cdot 250000$
$k=\frac{\ln 2}{t_{1 / 2}}=2.77 \cdot 10^{-6}$ years $^{-1}$
2. If $\mathrm{C}=0,1 \mathrm{C}_{0}$, then:
$\ln \frac{C_{0}}{0,1 C_{0}}=2.77 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot t \quad \Rightarrow \quad t=\frac{\ln 10}{2.77 \cdot 10^{-6}}=830482=8,3 \cdot 10^{5}$ year $s$
3. $n_{P u}: n_{T a}: n_{X e}: n_{P m}: n_{\text {Dialium }}: n_{H g}: n_{H}=\frac{15.08}{244.06}: \frac{18.06}{180.95}: \frac{27.71}{131.29}: \frac{24.02}{144.91}: \frac{10.62}{2 \cdot 26.98}: \frac{3.94}{200.59}: \frac{0.57}{1.01}=$
$=0.0618: 0.0998: 0.211: 0.166: 0.197: 0.0196: 0.564=$
$=3.15: 5.09: 10.77: 8.45: 10.05: 1: 28.8=$
$\approx 3: 5: 11: 8.5: 10: 1: 29$
$\mathrm{Pu}_{6} \mathrm{Ta}_{10} \mathrm{Xe}_{22} \mathrm{Pm}_{17}\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2}\right)_{20} \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{58}$
Sum $=15.08+18.06+27.71+24.02+10.62+3.94+0.57=100$
It is not possible mineral formula because it does not contain proper amount of anions (such as oxygen which is not in this mineral).
4. Fluoride ions can replace hydroxide ions in $\mathrm{LiNaSiB}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{OH})$. Similar compounds in nature are apatite and fluoroapatite. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apatite]
5. Volume of monoclinic cell is equal to area of face between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ powers height of cell.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(\mathbf{a b})=\mathbf{a} * b \\
& \text { height }=\mathbf{c} * \sin \beta
\end{aligned}
$$


$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b} * \mathrm{c} * \sin \beta=6.76421 * 13.8047 * 7.86951 * \sin 124.0895=608.566 \AA^{3}$
Or you can use freeware Winplotr to calculate cell volumes (it also works for triclinic cells) and many other data:

$608.566 \AA^{3}=608.566 * 10^{-30} \mathrm{~m}^{3}=608.566 * 10^{-30} * 10^{6} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=6.0856 * 10^{-22} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
If we count correctly green colored lithium atoms and blue colored sodium atoms, then we can find that $Z$ (number of molecules in unit cell) is 4 .
$\mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{LiNaSiB}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{OH})\right]=6.94+22.99+28.09+3 * 10.81+8 * 16.00+1.01=219.46 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Mass of one "molecule":
$m_{0}=\frac{M}{N_{A}}=\frac{219.46}{6.02 \cdot 10^{23}}=3.6455 \cdot 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}$
density $=\frac{m}{V}=\frac{4 \cdot m_{0}}{V}=\frac{4 \cdot 3.6455 \cdot 10^{-22}}{6.0856 \cdot 10^{-22}}=2.396 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$
6. Bragg equation:

$$
n \lambda=2 d \cdot \sin \theta
$$

where:

- $n$ is an integer determined by the order given (in this problem it is 1 ),
- $\lambda$ is the wavelength of $X$-rays, and moving electrons, protons and neutrons ( $\lambda=0.15418 \mathrm{~nm}$ $=1.5418$ Å),
- $d$ is the spacing between the planes in the atomic lattice, and
- $\theta$ is the angle between the incident ray and the scattering planes.

So from this equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \frac{2 \theta}{2}=\frac{\lambda}{2 d} \\
& 2 \theta=2 \cdot \arcsin \left(\frac{\lambda}{2 d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

| d-spacing (Å) | Relative intensity | 2-theta, $^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.666 | 62 | 19,02 |
| 3.716 | 39 | 23,95 |
| 3.180 | 82 | 28,06 |
| 3.152 | 74 | 28,31 |
| 3.027 | 40 | 29,51 |
| 2.946 | 100 | 30,34 |
| 2.252 | 38 | 40,04 |

Jadarite PXRD pattern (found somewhere in literature; Bruker AXS presentation, Riga, 2009) and it corresponds to results calculated. In all calculated positions we can find diffraction signals.

Intensity (counts)

7. None of those diffraction patterns refers to jadarite.

| ${ }_{92}^{238} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow{ }_{90}^{234} \mathrm{Th}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $\alpha$ decay |
| :--- | :--- |
| ${ }_{90}^{234} \mathrm{Th} \rightarrow{ }_{91}^{234} \mathrm{~Pa}+{ }_{-1}^{0} e$ | $\beta$ decay |
| ${ }_{91}^{234} \mathrm{~Pa} \rightarrow{ }_{92}^{234} \mathrm{U}+{ }_{-1}^{0} e$ | $\beta$ decay |
| ${ }_{91}^{234} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow{ }_{90}^{230} \mathrm{Th}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $\alpha$ decay |
| ${ }_{92}^{230} \mathrm{Th} \rightarrow{ }_{88}^{226} \mathrm{Ra}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $\alpha$ decay |
| ${ }_{88}^{226} \mathrm{Ra} \rightarrow{ }_{98}^{222} \mathrm{Rn}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $\alpha$ decay |

8. Firstly we have to calculate amount of water in given hydrate. $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot(8-12) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$w\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)=0.206=\frac{M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \cdot x}{M(\text { anhydrous compound })+M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)}$
$18 x=0.206 \cdot(793.55+18 x)$
Molar mass calculations:

| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | n | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63.55 | 1 | 63.55 |
| 238.03 | 2 | 476.06 |
| 16 | 12 | 192 |
| 30.97 | 2 | 61.94 |
|  | sum | 793.55 |

$18 x=163.47+3.708 x$
$14.292 x=163.47$
$x=11.4$
So molar mass of hydrated compound $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot(11.4) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is:
$\mathrm{M}=793.55+11.4 * 18=999 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{n}\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot(11.4) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=\frac{m}{M}=\frac{5.00}{999.4}=0.005003 \mathrm{~mol} \approx 0.005 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}} * \mathrm{n}=6.02 * 10^{23} * 0.005 * \mathbf{2}=\mathbf{6 . 0} * \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{0}^{21}$ atoms
9. Formation of $234-$ Th and $234-\mathrm{Pa}$ can be assigned as negligible and it can be assumed that 238-U decays to form 234-U with half life of $4.468 * 10^{9}$ years.
$\frac{\Delta N\left({ }^{238} U\right)}{\Delta t} \approx \frac{d N\left({ }^{238} U\right)}{d t}=-k_{0} \cdot N_{238 U}$
$\frac{\Delta N\left({ }^{234} U\right)}{\Delta t} \approx \frac{d\left({ }^{234} U\right)}{d t}=k_{0} \cdot N_{23 \& U}-k_{1} \cdot N_{234 U}$
$\frac{\Delta N\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right)}{\Delta t} \approx \frac{d\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right)}{d t}=k_{1} \cdot N_{234 U}-k_{2} \cdot N_{230 T h}$
$\frac{\Delta N\left({ }^{226} R a\right)}{\Delta t} \approx \frac{d\left({ }^{226} R a\right)}{d t}=k_{2} \cdot N_{230 T h}-k_{3} \cdot N_{226 R a}$
$\frac{\Delta N\left({ }^{222} R n\right)}{\Delta t} \approx \frac{d\left({ }^{222} R n\right)}{d t}=k_{3} \cdot N_{226 R a}$
At the beginning: $\quad \mathrm{N}_{0}\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right)=0.992742 * 3.0 * 10^{21}=2.99 * 10^{21}$ atoms

$$
\mathrm{N}_{0}\left({ }^{234} \mathrm{U}\right)=0.000054 * 3.0 * 10^{21}=1.63 * 10^{17} \text { atoms }
$$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{0}\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right)=0
$$

$$
N_{0}\left({ }^{226} R a\right)=0
$$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{0}\left({ }^{222} \mathrm{Rn}\right)=0
$$

$k_{0}=\frac{\ln 2}{4.468 \cdot 10^{9}}=1.55 \cdot 10^{-11}$ years $^{-1}$
$k_{1}=\frac{\ln 2}{245500}=2.82 \cdot 10^{-6}$ years $^{-1}$
$k_{2}=\frac{\ln 2}{75380}=9.19 \cdot 10^{-6}$ years $^{-1}$
$k_{3}=\frac{\ln 2}{1602}=0.0004327 \cdot 10^{-6}$ years $^{-1}$
$\Delta N\left({ }^{238} U\right)=-k_{0} \cdot N_{238 U} \cdot \Delta t$
where $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ is as small as possible.
$N\left({ }^{238} U\right)_{a t t+\Delta t}=N\left({ }^{238} U\right)_{a t t}+\Delta N\left({ }^{238} U\right)$
for rest particles similar equations can be obtained.

Inputting them into MS Excel worksheet we can obtain results that are shown below. MS Excel function $=\max (.$.$) is used to find maximum value of 230-\mathrm{Th}$ in 1 million years. It has been found that after one million years it is $5.03895 * 10^{16}$ atoms (maximum value for that time) and value of $1.00855 * 10^{17}$ atoms in

### 1.974 million years.



## 10. See answer between graph.

11. Function extremes such as maximum points can be determined from function derivative. It must be equal to zero.
$N\left({ }^{230} U\right)_{o} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}-k_{1}}\left(-k_{1} \cdot e^{-k_{1} t}+k_{2} \cdot e^{-k_{2} t}\right)=0$
$N\left({ }^{230} U\right)_{o} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}-k_{1}} \neq 0$
so
$-k_{1} \cdot e^{-k_{1} t}+k_{2} \cdot e^{-k_{2} t}=0$
$k_{1} \cdot e^{-k_{1} t}=k_{2} \cdot e^{-k_{2} t} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{k_{1} \cdot e^{-k_{1} t}}{k_{2} \cdot e^{-k_{2} t}}=1$
$\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}} \cdot e^{t \cdot\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)}=1 \quad \frac{2.82 \cdot 10^{-6}}{9.19 \cdot 10^{-6}} \cdot e^{t \cdot\left(9.19 \cdot 10^{-6}-2.82 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}=1$
$0.3069 \cdot e^{t \cdot\left(6.37 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}=1$
$e^{t \cdot\left(6.37 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}=\frac{1}{0.3069}=3.26$
$\ln e^{t \cdot\left(6.37 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}=\ln 3.26$
$t \cdot\left(6.37 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)=1.18$
$t=\frac{1.18}{6.37 \cdot 10^{-6}}=185459$ years
12. This big difference can be explained by fact that in calculation of $t_{\text {max2 }}$ formation of ${ }^{234} \mathrm{U}$ (from ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ ) has not taken into account, but in Excel file it is included. If we exclude this from Excel file (simply set constant $\mathrm{k}_{0}=0$ ), then we obtain same result, see figure bellow.

| 181000 | 2,99E+21 | 9,75E+16 | 2,96594E+16 | 6,3E+14 | 3,49E+13 | 0 | $-2,7525 \mathrm{E}+14$ | 2,5202E+12 | 93979944307 | 2,72635E+11 | 21,47565 | 16,98895 | 16,472162 | 14,799418 | 13,542259 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 182000 | 2,99E+21 | 9,72E+16 | 2,96619E+16 | 6,3E+14 | 3,51E+13 | 0 | -2,7447E+14 | 1,71988E+12 | 76491230004 | 2,72676E+11 | 21,47565 | 16,98772 | 16,472199 | 14,799483 | 13,545643 |
| 183000 | 2,99E+21 | 9,69E+16 | 2,96636E+16 | 6,3E+14 | 3,54E+13 | 0 | -2,737E+14 | 9,29122E+11 | 59210268820 | 2,72709E+11 | 21,47565 | 16,9865 | 16,472224 | 14,799536 | 13,549001 |
| 184000 | 2,99E+21 | 9,67E+16 | 2,96645E+16 | 6,3E+14 | 3,57E+13 | 0 | $-2,7292 \mathrm{E}+14$ | 1,47819E+11 | 42135017863 | 2,72734E+11 | 21,47565 | 16,98527 | 16,472237 | 14,799577 | 13,552334 |
| 185000 | 2,99E+21 | 9,64E+16 | 2,96647E+16 | 6,3E+14 | 3,59E+13 | 0 | $-2,7215 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $-6,2412 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 25263453401 | 2,72753E+11 | 21,47565 | 16,98404 | 16,47224 | 14,799606 | 13,555642 |
| 186000 | 2,99E+21 | 9,61E+16 | 2,96641E+16 | 6,3E+14 | 3,62E+13 | 0 | $-2,7139 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $-1,3868 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 8593570682 | 2,72763E+11 | 21,47565 | 16,98281 | 16,472231 | 14,799623 | 13,558925 |
| 187000 | 2,99E+21 | 9,58E+16 | 2,96627E+16 | 6,3E+14 | 3,65E+13 | 0 | $-2,7062 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $-2,1403 \mathrm{E}+12$ | -7876616238 | 2,72767E+11 | 21,47565 | 16,98158 | 16,47221 | 14,799629 | 13,562183 |
| 188000 | 2,99E+21 | 9,56E+16 | 2,96605E+16 | 6,3E+14 | 3,68E+13 | 0 | $-2,6985 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $-2,8846 \mathrm{E}+12$ | $-2,4149 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 2,72764E+11 | 21,47565 | 16,98036 | 16,472179 | 14,799624 | 13,565418 |

## SOLUTION - Problem 2

a)


Energy level diagram
b) $I E=E^{\prime}-E=-13.6 \cdot\left(\frac{2 Z_{\text {eff } 1 \mathrm{~s}}^{2}}{1^{2}}\right)+13.6 \cdot\left(\frac{2 Z_{\text {eff } 1 \mathrm{~s}}^{2}}{1^{2}}+\frac{Z_{\text {eff } 2 \mathrm{~s}}^{2}}{2^{2}}\right)=13.6 \cdot \frac{Z_{\text {eff } 2 \mathrm{~s}}^{2}}{2^{2}}=5.3917 \mathrm{eV}$

Li: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 2 \mathrm{~s}}=2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{5.3917}{13.6}}=1.259$
Li: $\quad Z_{\text {eff, } 2 \mathrm{p}}=1.259-0.25=1.009$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =6.626 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot 3.00 \cdot 10^{8} / 1.602 \cdot 10^{-19} \cdot 13.6\left(\frac{Z_{\text {eff } 2 \mathrm{~s}}^{2}}{2^{2}}-\frac{Z_{\text {eff } 2 \mathrm{p}}^{2}}{2^{2}}\right)= \\
& =91.2 \mathrm{~nm} /\left(\frac{1.259^{2}}{2^{2}}-\frac{1.009^{2}}{2^{2}}\right)=643 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Li: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 3 \mathrm{p}}=1.259-0.75=0.509 \quad \lambda=248 \mathrm{~nm}$
Na: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 3 \mathrm{~s}}=1.259+0.485=1.744$
Na: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 3 p}=1.009+0.389=1.398 \lambda=755 \mathrm{~nm}$
$\mathrm{Na}: \quad Z_{\text {eff }, 4 \mathrm{p}}=0.509+0.114=0.623 \quad \lambda=291 \mathrm{~nm}$
K: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 4 \mathrm{~s}}=1.744+0.485=2.229$
K: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 4 \mathrm{p}}=1.398+0.389=1.787 \lambda=822 \mathrm{~nm}$
K: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 5 \mathrm{p}}=0.623+0.114=0.737 \quad \lambda=316 \mathrm{~nm}$
Rb: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 5 \mathrm{~s}}=2.229+0.485=2.714$

Rb: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 5 \mathrm{p}}=1.787+0.389=2.176$

$$
\lambda=867 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

Rb: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 6 p}=0.737+0.114=0.851$
$\lambda=332 \mathrm{~nm}$

Cs: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 6 \mathrm{~s}}=2.714+0.485=3.199$
Cs: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 6 \mathrm{p}}=2.176+0.389=2.565 \quad \lambda=898 \mathrm{~nm}$
Cs: $\quad Z_{\text {eff }, 7_{p}}=0.851+0.114=0.965 \quad \lambda=344 \mathrm{~nm}$
c) $3 d \rightarrow 2 p$
d) Li has also orange and red lines. $L \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is used for colouring fireworks in red. $(n+1) s \rightarrow n p$ transition appear in violet-blue part of visible spectrum starting from $\mathrm{K} . \mathrm{KNO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{RbNO}_{3}$ are used for colouring fireworks in violet and violet-red. $n p \rightarrow n s$ transition is shifted to red ( $K, 722 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) down the group, thus we may guess, that Na should give yellow colouring, (<655 nm). $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ is used in fireworks.

## SOLUTION - Problem 3

1) Assuming that $\mathbf{A}$ has a formula $\mathrm{EH}_{\mathrm{x}}$ molar mass of element E can be determined.

| $x$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{E}} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | element E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3,6 | - |
| 2 | 7,2 | - |
| 3 | 10,8 | Boron |
| 4 | 14,4 | - |
| 5 | 18,0 | - |
| 6 | 21,6 | - |

Compound $\mathbf{A}$ may have the formula $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$. However, the first reaction equation suggests that $\mathbf{A}$ is a dimer. Therfore, $\mathbf{A}$ is diborane $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. It has the following structure:


Boron atoms are tetrahedral. The interesting feature of this molecule is bonding of the bridging Hydrogens. The B-H-B bond is an example of a 2-electron-3-centre bond.
2)

| Letter | Formula |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ |
| C | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ |
| D | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ |
| E | $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ |
| F | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ |

3) In monomeric $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ Boron atom has got an empty 2 p orbital and six electrons (two from each B-H bond). Formation of a dimer allows to fulfil the octet rule as the electrons of the bridging Hydrogens become equally shared between both Boron atoms.

## SOLUTION - Problem 4

## PART 1

At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ is a liquid.
Two reactions occur:
$\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+0.5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{I})$
$\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{I}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{G}=\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~S}
$$

$\mathrm{T}=298.15 \mathrm{~K}$
$K=e^{\frac{-\Delta G}{R T}}$

|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ <br> $(\mathrm{aq})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{kJ}$ <br> $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | -296.83 | 0 | -441.0 | -395.7 | -285.83 | -909.27 |
| $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}, \mathrm{JK}^{-1}$ <br> $\mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | 248.22 | 205.138 | 113.8 | 256.76 | 69.91 | 20.1 |

$\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+0.5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{l})$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}=\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{l}\right)-\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)-0.5 \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)=-144.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 1} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{l}\right)-\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)-0.5 \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)=-237.0 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 1} \mathrm{G}=-73.55 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=-73550 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{RT}}}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{73550}{8.314 \cdot 298.15}}=7.693 \cdot 10^{12} \rightarrow$ [reagents] $\ll$ [products]
$\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{I}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 2} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}=\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{~g}\right)-\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{l}\right)=45.3 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 2} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{~g}\right)-\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{l}\right)=143.0 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 2} \mathrm{G}=2.698 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$K_{2}=e^{\frac{-\Delta G}{R T}}=e^{\frac{2698}{8.314 \cdot 298.15}}=2.9693885$
$\mathrm{K}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{I}\right)}{\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right) \cdot \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}}^{0.5}}$
There is an excess of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ in a container, after reaction there is unreacted $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ :
$(70-30 \cdot 2) \%=10 \%$ of initial pressure/volume
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}}=\frac{1}{\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(7.693 \cdot 10^{12} \cdot 0.1\right)^{2}}=1.690 \cdot 10^{-24} \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)=\mathrm{nN}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\mathrm{pVN}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.690 \cdot 10^{-24} \cdot 101325 \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot 6.022 \cdot 10^{23}}{8.314 \cdot 298.15}=0.208$ molecules
$0.208<1$
Therefore, the final volume of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ equals 0 L .

## PART 2

$\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{I})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{I}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 3} \mathrm{H}^{0}=-182.4 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 3} \mathrm{~S}^{0}=-163.6 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 3} \mathrm{G}=-133.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$K_{3}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{RT}}}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{133700}{8.314 \cdot 298.15}}=2.705 \cdot 10^{23}$
$\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{I}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 4} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}=-227.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 4} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{o}}=-306.6 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 4} \mathrm{G}=-136.4 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$K_{4}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\Delta G}{R T}}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{136400}{8.314 \cdot 298.15}}=8.036 \cdot 10^{23}$
$\mathrm{K}_{3} \gg 1, \mathrm{~K}_{4} \gg 1$. So, all $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ dissolves into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$.
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{K}_{5}=1.25 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{I}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HSO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{6}=1.54 \cdot 10^{-2}$
(C)
$\mathrm{HSO}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{5}=6.3 \cdot 10^{-8}$ constant is too small, so reaction is not significant

The major protons are formed from $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C reactions
$n\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, l\right.$ and $\left.g\right)=n\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)=2 \cdot n\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)=\frac{2 p\left(O_{2}\right) V}{R T}=\frac{2 \cdot 0.3 p(\mathrm{total}) \mathrm{V}}{R T}=\frac{2 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 101325 \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{8.314 \cdot 298.15}=$
0.1226 mol if pressure is 1 atm ;

If pressure (Standard) is $1 \mathrm{bar}=100 \mathrm{kPa} \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)=0.1210 \mathrm{~mol}$
All $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ dissolves in 1.00 L of water, so $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right]=0.1210 \mathrm{M}$
According to the reaction $\mathrm{A},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{O}}=\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)=0.1210 \mathrm{~mol}$
After the addition of water $p\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)=\frac{n\left(S \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) R T}{V}=\frac{0.1 n(g, \text { initial }) R T}{V}=\frac{\frac{p(\text { initial }) V(\text { initial })}{R T} 0.1 R T}{V}=$ $\frac{0.1 p(\text { initial }) V(\text { initial })}{V}=\frac{0.1 \cdot 100 \mathrm{kPa} \cdot 5.00 \mathrm{~L}}{4.00 \mathrm{~L}}=12.5 \mathrm{kPa}=0.125 \mathrm{bar}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Initial | 0.1210 | 0 | 0.1210 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Change | $-x$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |

Final $\quad 0.1210-x \quad x \quad 0.1210+x$
$\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{I}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HSO}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$
Initial
0.125

0
0.1210

Change
-yRT/V
$+y \quad+y$
Final
$0.125-\mathrm{yRT} / \mathrm{V}$
y
$0.1210+y$
Protons are formed from two reactions, so the final $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{0}+\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K_{5}=\frac{(0.1210+x+y) x}{0.1210-x}=0.0125 \\
K_{6}=\frac{(0.1210+x+y) y}{0.125-y \cdot 6.197}=0.0154
\end{array}\right.
$$

$x=0.00997 ; y=0.00821$
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{0}+\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}=(0.1210+0.00997+0.00821) \mathrm{M}=0.13918 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pH}=-\lg \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.08564$

## PART 3

When $\mathrm{T}=200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, only one significant reaction occur:
$\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+0.5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 7} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}=\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{~g}\right)-\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)-0.5 \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)=-98.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 7} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{~g}\right)-\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)-0.5 \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)=-196.598 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{r} 7} \mathrm{G}=-73.55 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=-40114 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{7}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{RT}}}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{40114}{8.314 \cdot 473.15}}=2.68 \cdot 10^{4} \rightarrow[\text { reagents }] \ll[\text { products }]
$$

There is an excess of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, so all $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is converted into $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$, and all $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ dissolves in water (as in part 2), so $\mathbf{p H}$ is the same; $\mathbf{p H}=\mathbf{0 . 0 8 5 6 4}$

## PART 4

There is an excess of O 2 , so all SO 2 is reacted
$\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+0.5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{I})$
$n\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, l\right.$ and $\left.g\right)=n\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)=n\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)=\frac{p\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) V}{R T}=\frac{0.3 p(\text { total }) V}{R T}=\frac{0.3 \cdot 101325 \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{8.314 \cdot 298.15}=0.0613 \mathrm{~mol}$ if pressure is 1 atm;

If pressure (Standard) is 1 bar $=100 \mathrm{kPa} \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)=0.0605 \mathrm{~mol}$
All $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ dissolves in 1.00 L of water, so $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right]=0.0605 \mathrm{M}$
According to the reaction $\mathrm{A},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{O}}=\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)=0.0605 \mathrm{~mol}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Initial | 0.0605 | 0 | 0.0605 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Change | $-x$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |

Final $\quad 0.0605-x \quad x \quad 0.0605+x$

$$
K_{5}=\frac{(0.0605+x) x}{0.0605-x}=0.0125
$$

$x=0.00920$
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{x}=(0.0605+0.00920) \mathrm{M}=0.0697 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pH}=1.157$

## PART 5

$\mathrm{H}^{+}$concentration two times lower: $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.13918 \mathrm{M} / 2=0.06959 \mathrm{M}$ (similar to part 4).
By changing initial proportion of gases (the increase of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, the decrease of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ) the two times lower concentration of $\mathrm{H}+$ can be reached.
$\mathrm{H}^{+}$concentration two times higher: $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2 \cdot 0.13918 \mathrm{M}=0.2784 \mathrm{M}$
For the most acidic final solution, the iniatial proportion of gases should be $66.7 \% \mathrm{SO} 2$ and 33.3 $\%$ O2, then reaction $\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+0.5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{I})$ occur, and:
$n\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}, l\right.$ and $\left.g\right)=n\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)=n\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)=\frac{p\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{V}}{R T}=\frac{0.667 p(\text { total }) \mathrm{V}}{R T}=\frac{0.3 \cdot 101325 \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{8.314 \cdot 298.15}=0.1345 \mathrm{~mol}$ if pressure is 1 bar ;

According to the reaction $\mathrm{A},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{0}=\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)=0.1345 \mathrm{~mol}$
All $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ dissolves in 1.00 L of water, so $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right]=0.1345 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

Initial

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
0.1345 & 0 & 0.1345
\end{array}
$$

Change
$-x \quad+X$
$+X$
Final
0.1345 -x x
$0.1345+x$

$$
K_{5}=\frac{(0.1345+x) x}{0.1345-x}=0.0125
$$

$x=0.01066$
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{0}+\mathrm{x}=(0.1345+0.01066) \mathrm{M}=0.1452 \mathrm{M}<0.2784 \mathrm{M}$
If temperature is bigger than $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reaction $\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+0.5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ occur (like in part 3). The bigger temperature is, the smaller is $\mathrm{K}_{5}$, therefore can't be reached the bigger amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ ions.

Thus, the hydrogen ions concentration two times bigger than in part 2 can be reached, but lower can be (by changing initial proportion of gases).

## SOLUTION - Problem 5

## Scheme 1










Scheme 2

A

B

C


D


E

The question can be answered "no" as well as "yes". On the one hand, at room temperature, compounds such as the one mentioned in the question interconvert fast enough, so that two different isomers are not observed. On the other hand, two different isomers will exist at low temperatures. Both answers are correct, but no points should be allocated for the answer without proper explanation.

## SOLUTION - Problem 6

1. ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atom has 87 nucleons and 37 electrons, hence: $87+37=124$ subatomic particles in total, and 124 is even. ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$ has 23 nucleons and 11 electrons- 34 subatomic particles. The number of electrons is equal to the number of protons in an atom, so it actually only depends on the number of neutrons- if it is even, then the atom is a boson.
2. Two lasers in every possible dimension, therefore 6 lasers in 3D.
3. The general equation is: $E_{k}=\frac{f}{2} \mathrm{kT}$, where f is the number of degrees of freedom and for monatomic gas it is 3. As we can see, the kinetic energy only depends on the type of the gas. Because both sodium and rubidium gasses are monatomic their average kinetic energies are equal: $E_{k}=\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{kT}=1.5 * 1.380 * 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} *$
$(25.00+273.15) K=6.172 * 10^{-21} \mathrm{~J}$
4. $v=\sqrt{\frac{3 \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{M}}}=\sqrt{\frac{3 * 8.314 \frac{\mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~mol} * \mathrm{~K}} * 170.0 * 10^{\wedge}(-9) \mathrm{K}}{87.00 * 10^{\wedge}(-3) \frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{mol}}}}=6.981 \times 10^{-3} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}=6.981 \frac{\mathrm{~mm}}{\mathrm{~s}}$
5. $E=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{6.626 * 10^{-34} \mathrm{Js} * 2.998 * 10^{8} \frac{m}{s}}{780.0 * 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=2.54676 * 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
6. This energy corresponds to the energy needed for the electron of rubidium to go from the sorbital of the fifth layer to the p orbital of the same layer: $5 s^{1} \rightarrow 5 p^{1}$
7. The general Doppler effect is expressed in the following way for the moving detector (atom) and stationary source (laser):

$$
f^{\prime}=f \frac{\mathrm{~V} \pm v_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{v}}
$$

Where $f^{\prime}$ is the frequency observed by the detector and $f$ is the frequency of the source. If we put $f^{\prime}=f($ atom $), f=$ f (laser), $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{d})=\mathrm{v}($ atom $)$ then:

$$
f_{\text {atom }}=f_{\text {laser }} \frac{\mathrm{c} \pm v_{\text {atom }}}{\mathrm{c}}=f_{\text {laser }}\left(1 \pm \frac{v_{\text {atom }}}{\mathrm{c}}\right)
$$

Because we want for the atoms to slow down when they are moving towards the laser, so the frequency detected by the atom increases. So we take v/c positive. If we then divide by $\left(1+\frac{v_{\text {atom }}}{c}\right)$ we obtain the desired equation

$$
f_{\text {laser }}=\frac{f_{\text {atom }}}{\left(1+\frac{v_{\text {atom }}}{c}\right)}
$$

Due to the fact that the speed of the atoms is really small, compared to the speed of light, we do not have to take the relativity into account.
8. $\Delta f_{\text {atom }}=\frac{v_{\text {atom }}}{c} f_{\text {laser }}=\frac{v_{\text {atom }} * C}{c * \lambda}=\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3 \mathrm{RT}}{M}}}{\lambda}=\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3 * 8.314 *(25.00+273.15)}{87 * 10^{-3}}}}{780.0 * 10^{-9}}=3.748 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~Hz}$

Compared to the $3.846 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~Hz}$ frequency of thelaser it is not a lot, so during the experiments the scientists usually detune the lasers using the trial and error method.

## SOLUTION - Problem 7

1.A - NOCl

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{HCl} \\
& \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{HNO} \\
& \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{NO} \\
& \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Cl} \\
& \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{HNO}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{G}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NOHSO}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Cu} \\
& \mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{HCN} \\
& \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{CNCl} \\
& \mathrm{M}-(\mathrm{CN})_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. 

$\Delta H_{f}^{0}\left(\operatorname{NOCl}_{(g)}\right)=51.71 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\Delta H_{f}^{0}\left(N O_{(g)}\right)=90.25 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$S^{0}\left(\mathrm{NOCl}_{(g)}\right)=261.69 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K})$
$S^{0}\left(N O_{(g)}\right)=210.761 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K})$
$S^{0}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}\right)=223.066 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K})$
$2 \mathrm{NOCl}_{(g)} \leftrightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{(g)}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2(g)} \quad \Delta H_{r}^{0}=77.08 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \quad \Delta S_{r}^{0}=121.21 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K})$
$K=e^{-\frac{\Delta H_{r}-T \Delta S_{r}}{R T}}=e^{-\frac{77080 \mathrm{Jmol}-400 \mathrm{~K} \cdot 121.21 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K})}{8.314 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K}) \cdot 400 \mathrm{~K}}}=1.84 \times 10^{-4}$
$K_{C}=\frac{K_{p}}{(R T)^{\Delta n}}=\frac{1.84 \times 10^{-4}}{0.008314 \cdot 400}=5.53 \times 10^{-5}$
$[\mathrm{NOCl}]_{0}=0.50 \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{0}=[\mathrm{NO}]_{0}=0.00 \mathrm{M}$
$K=\frac{[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]}{[\mathrm{NOCl}]^{2}}=\frac{4 x^{3}}{(0.50-2 x)^{2}}=5.53 \times 10^{-5}$
$4 \mathrm{x}^{3}-0.0002212 \mathrm{x}^{2}+0.0001106 \mathrm{x}-0.000013825=0$
$x \approx 0.0145$
$[\mathrm{NOCl}]=0.5-2 \cdot 0.0145 \approx 0.471 \mathrm{M}$
$[\mathrm{NO}]=2 \cdot 0.0145 \approx 0.029 \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \approx 0.0145 \mathrm{M}$
3.
$\chi(\mathrm{NOCl})=\frac{n(\mathrm{NOCl})}{n(\mathrm{NOCl})+n(\mathrm{NO})+n\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)}=\frac{n(\mathrm{NOCl})}{1.5 n(\mathrm{NOCl})_{0}-0.5 n(\mathrm{NOCl})}=\frac{p(\mathrm{NOCl})}{1.5 p(\mathrm{NOCl})_{0}-0.5 p(\mathrm{NOCl})}$

For example $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{NOCl})_{0}=1 \mathrm{bar}$, then:
$p(\mathrm{NOCl})=0.0149 \mathrm{bar}$
$p(N O)=0.985 b a r$
$p\left(C l_{2}\right)=0.493 \mathrm{bar}$
$K=K_{p}=\frac{0.985^{2} \cdot 0.493}{(0.0149)^{2}}=2.15 \times 10^{3}$
$T=\frac{\Delta H}{\Delta S-R \ln K}=\frac{77080 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{121.21 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})-8.314 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{molK}) \cdot \ln \left(2.15 \times 10^{3}\right)}=1343 \mathrm{~K}$

## SOLUTION - Probโem 8








## SOLUTION - Problem 9


2





1. $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{IO}_{4}{ }^{-}$
2. [O]


$\xrightarrow[\text { 2. } \Delta\left(-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)]{\text { 1. } \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}}$
$\longrightarrow$

P.S. Stereochemistry of the products was not expected to be shown by the students. All given structures are obtained after structure optimization.

## SOLUTION - Problem 10

1) According to Luca Turin' theory, nose works like vibrational spectrometer. Receptor has an emtpy cavity which is being occupyied by a fragrance molecule and usual eletron tunelling pathway is being disturbed. In an inclusion complex tunelling is still possible if a molecule or part of it can vibrate in a particular frequencie, thus sending signal to our brains and perceiving the sense of smell. This theory is based on a fact that totally different compounds but having the same IR spectra smells identically. Another theory is based on molecules size and shape, but falls under mentioned above facts. Kadangi jau aišku, ką ir kaip žmogaus nosis atpažįsta, dabar sudėtingesnis klausimas.
2) There are six features, why particular molecules does not trigger our smell sensors. If any of mentioned is present, molecule has little or no odour:
1. If molecules do not have dipole, electron tunelling is impossible.
2. If molecules are big (heavier than $400 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) electron tunneling is also becomes impossible because electron tunneling is possible only in small range (1-2 nm), but heavy molecules are bigger that that.
3. There exist blind spots. For example, our nose do not react to molecules present in air, or to any other frequent vibrations, such as $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$.
4. Also, there exists a vibration frequency limit to which receptors are being triggered. If below, spectra comes in mix with rotational spectra, thus giving n actual information to our receptor.
5. Electron scattering must be greater than yet undetermined limit, otherwise, to change between original and subsequential electon tunnelling pathway is observed.
6. Inadequate space orientation of a molecule. Particular fragrance molecule enters the receptor in a defined orientation and only one part of a receptor identifies vibrations. Thus if a most intensily vibrating group is situated on another side of a molecul, smell would be mild if any.
3) 

ZGiven compound:
 Isoamylacetate or 3-methyl-1-butyl acetate.

It does not matter what three compunds are offered by students. The crucial thing is that their IR spectra would have chracteristical peaks. And of course the synthesis method would be justified.


4) According to previous mentioned theory, zinc is involved in smell receptors. Thus, all molecules which are able to get to the receptor (i.e. fits the cavity, is volatile, binds to the receptor) smells very strong if has a structural ability to bind the zinc cation. If it does not smell is comperatively less strong.
5)

Spectra of these compunds are attached in a zip file. ChemDraw is required to open compressed files.



## SOLUTION - Probโem 11

a) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}+\mathrm{HNO}_{3}=\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HClO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ;$ sum $=4$
b) $36 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}+38 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}+8 \mathrm{HCl}=36 \mathrm{HClO} 4 \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+37 \mathrm{~N} 2 \mathrm{O}+4 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; ratio $=4 / 36=1 / 9$
$36 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}+44 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}+8 \mathrm{HCl}=36 \mathrm{HClO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+36 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+4 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+8 \mathrm{NO}_{2}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; ratio $=4 / 36=1 / 9$

## SOLUTION - ProbCem 12

a) Solution of the first three tasks is based on the Nernst equation. The general formula is the following: $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{0}+\frac{R T}{z F} \ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{ox}]}{[\text { red }]}\right)$ where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the standard, $\varepsilon$ is the actual potential; $R$ is ideal gas constant; $T$ is the temperature in Kelvins; $z$ is the stoichiometric number of electrons; $F$ is the Faraday constant; [ox] and [red] are the concentration of oxidized and reduced species - for approaching the chemical activity.
i) The half-cells are standard, $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{0}$, therefore the following reaction will take place: $\mathrm{Cd}^{2}+\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}=\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+\mathrm{Ni}$, because the $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}$ half-cell has lower potential.
ii) At $\mathrm{pH}=11$ precipitation should be considered. $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]$ can be determined by using the solubility constant:
$L=\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2} \quad x=\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]$ $10^{-13.5}=x \times\left(10^{-3}\right)^{2}, \quad x=10^{-7.5}=3.162 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.
Using the Nernst equation the actual potential of $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}$ half-cell: $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}\right)=-0.40+\frac{8.3145 \times 298.15}{2 \times 96485} \ln \left(\frac{10^{-7.5}}{1}\right)=-0.62 \mathrm{~V}$
Therefore the reaction is the same as in the previous subtask.
Another reasoning: precipitation means that the $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]$ will decrease. According to the properties of logarithms this means that the actual potential of $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}$ half-cell will be lower than $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}\right)$ so the $\mathrm{Cd}+\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}=$ $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+\mathrm{Ni}$ redox reaction will take place as well.
(Because of the precipitation: $\mathrm{Cd}+\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}=\underline{\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}+\mathrm{Ni}$ )
iii) This case is quite similar to the previous one. Using the same method: $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{2+} / \mathrm{Ni}\right)=-0.56 \mathrm{~V}$ so the direction of the redox reaction will be different: $\mathrm{Ni}+\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}=\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+\mathrm{Cd}$
$\left(\mathrm{Ni}+\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}=\underline{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right.}+\mathrm{Cd}\right)$
iv) The actual potential of half-cell 1) can be determined similarly, the concentration is known from the masses: $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}\right)=-0.45 \mathrm{~V}$.
$\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]$ will be lower because of formation of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}$. The initial amounts are stoichiometric and the equilibrium constant is a large number, so $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]$ could be approached by $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]$.

$$
10^{31.11}=\frac{1.021 \times 10^{-3}}{x \times(4 x)^{4}}
$$

where $x=\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]=4.991 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Using the Nernst equation: $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{2+} / \mathrm{Ni}\right)=-0,45 \mathrm{~V} . \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{2+} / \mathrm{Ni}\right) \cong \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}\right)$ therefore no redox reaction will be expected.
b) The main difficulty of this task is that none of the complexes are negligible. The equilibrium constants are given for each complex: $\beta_{n}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{CdI}^{(n-2)-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left[I^{-}\right]^{n}}\left[\mathrm{CdI}^{(n-2)-}\right]=\beta_{n}\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{n}$
Using these correspondences $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]$ and $[I]$ could be written by the linear combination of concentrations of species. This results a system of equations for $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]$ and $\left[I^{-}\right]$:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left(\sum_{n=0}^{4} \beta_{n}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{n}\right) \quad \beta_{0}=1}  \tag{1}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left(\sum_{n=1}^{4} \beta_{n} n\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{n}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

This could be solved by computer or an iterative method.
Considering the $\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$it must be between 0.031 and $0.035 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. Let us suppose that $\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]=0,031 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. Using equation (1): $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]=4.445 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$. Substituting these for equation (2) $\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]=0.03327 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. Repeating this method until $\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$will not change during the cycle the solutions are $\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]=0.03321 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]=3.937 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. The actual potential of this half-cell: $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cd}\right)=$ $-0,53 \mathrm{~V}$. If there is no difference between these half-cells $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{2+} / \mathrm{Ni}\right)$ must be -0.53 V .

$$
\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{2+} / \mathrm{Ni}\right)=-0.53 \mathrm{~V}=-0.23+\frac{8.3145 \times 298.15}{2 \times 96485} \ln \left(\frac{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]}{1}\right)
$$

so $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]=7.050 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.
In basic solution of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]$ there must be equilibrium between precipitate and free $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]$, therefore:

$$
\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\sqrt{\frac{L}{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]}}=\sqrt{\frac{10^{-17,19}}{7,050 \times 10^{-11}}}=3,026 \times 10^{-4} \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{dm}^{3}}
$$

Almost the entire amount of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ is in precipitate so $2 \times 0,1 \times 1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}$ is necessary for it. Besides this additional $0,1 \times 3,026 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}$ is needed to set the pH value of the solution. As result $\mathbf{2 , 0 1 1} \mathbf{~ m g ~ N a O H}$ must be added.

## SOLUTION - Pro6Cem 13

1. The sulfur containing species have a total concentration of $0.117 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$. Hydrogen ions coming from the second dissociation step can be neglected. The first dissociation of a weak acid in this concentration gives $\mathrm{pH}=3.92$. (3 points)

The same first dissociation step gives $\mathrm{pH}=8.90$ as the answer for the second question. (2 points)
2. Using the second dissociation constant given, the ratio $\left[\mathrm{HS}^{-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{S}^{2}-\right]=5$ results. This means that $83 \%$ of hydrogen sulfide would be in sulfide ion form in this solution. (2 points)
3. In moderately basic solutions HS- ion will be the dominant species, present in $100 \%$. The detectable $1 \%$ decrease in $5 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ hydroxide ion solutions will mean $99 \%$ of hydrogen sulfide as HS - ion. So [ $\left.\mathrm{HS}-\right] /\left[\mathrm{S}^{2}-\right]>99$, and $K_{2}<2 \cdot 10^{-17}$. (3 points)
4. In concentrated solutions activity coefficients can significantly deviate from unity. (2 points)
5. From the concentrations and the appearances of the precipitates two limits can be estimated: based on no ZnS precipitate: $K_{2}<3.41 \cdot 10^{-15}$, based on $\mathrm{SnS}: K_{2}>2.71 \cdot 10^{-18}$. (4+4 points)
6) If we take the precipitation of ZnS complete, then the pH of the solution is determined by the hydrogen ions formed in the $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{ZnS}}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}$process, as the hydrogen sulfide dissociation is suppressed. Final $\mathrm{pH}=2$ in this case. However the complete precipitation is not obvious and depends on the true value of the second dissociation constant. If $K_{2}=10^{-17}$ then $\mathrm{pH}=2.39$ and only $40 \%$ of the zinc is precipitated. ( $2+2$ points)

## SOLUTION - Problem 14

| 1 a | Important note! Points are taken for an incorrect number of significant figures in numerical calculations. $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=2 d \sin \Theta \\ & d=\frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \theta}=\frac{1.542}{2 \sin \frac{41.11^{\circ}}{2}}=2.196 \AA[2] \\ & \frac{1}{d^{2}}=\frac{h^{2}+k^{2}+l^{2}}{a^{2}} \\ & \therefore a^{2}=2.196 \sqrt{3} \approx 3.803 \AA \equiv 3.803 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 b | In an fcc structure there are four formula units (here - atoms) per unit cell. Given the density and the lattice parameter, calculated above, the atomic mass of M can be derived: $\begin{aligned} & \rho=\frac{m}{V}=\frac{4 M}{N_{A} a^{3}} \therefore M=\frac{N_{A} \rho a^{3}}{4}=102.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}[2] \\ & \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh} \text { (rhodium) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 a | Since $\mathbf{C}$ contains one element only and gives a signal in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR, it must be some allotrope of carbon. In addition, it must contain carbon in $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization state. Given the colour of the toluene solution, $\mathbf{C}$ can only be the buckminsterfullerene $\boldsymbol{C}_{60}$, which is aromatic and highly symmetric, as seen from the spectrum. $\mathbf{C}=\mathrm{C}_{60}$ |
| 2 b | Signal (ppm) Carbon number <br> 21.4 7 <br> 123.1  <br> 125.4 $2-6$ <br> 128.3  <br> 137.8 1 <br> Note: no points should be taken for incorrect assignment of signals at 123.1, 125.4, 128.3 ppm. $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, toluene |


| 3 a | The signal at -9.50 ppm is most probably due to a hydrogen, directly attached to the metal. It shows coupling over two bonds (as hinted in the problem) to phosphorus, which has a spin of $1 / 2$, just like ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$. This means, that if the hydrogen signal is split into a quartet there must be three phosphorus atoms two bonds away from it (i.e. attached directly to the metal). <br> The other signals in the NMR spectrum must be due to the hydrogens of the other ligands. The signals are characteristic to aromatic compounds, and bearing in mind the presence of phosphorus, we can predict, that there are going to be three triphenylphosphine ligands attached to rhodium in this complex (this correlates nicely with the chemical shifts and the total intensity: $5 * 3 * 3=45$ ) From the IR spectrum we can infer, that there is also one or $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ ligands attached to the rhodium atom. $\mathrm{RhH}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$, a yellow solid, fits the description very well. $\mathbf{A}=\mathrm{RhH}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 b | $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{RhH}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ (a dark green solid) <br> $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (triphenylphosphine) |
| 3 c | Since both the complexes are coloured, they absorb in the near UV/ visible light region of the electromagnetic spectrum. Thus, UV-Vis spectroscopy can be used. To carry out the spectroscopic analysis the maximum absorption wavelengths for both compounds are required, as well as the molar extinction coefficient at the desired wavelengths. <br> $A=\varepsilon I C$ - Lambert-Beer law, where $A$ is the absorption, $\varepsilon$ is the extinction coefficient, $I$ is the sample thickness and $C$ is the concentration |
| Notes | The material for this task was taken from the following paper: Claridge, J.B., Douthwaite, R.E., Green, M.L.H., Lago, R.M., Tsang, S.C., York, A.P.E. 1993. Studies on a new catalyst for the hydroformylation of alkenes using $C_{60}$ as a ligands. Journal of Molecular Catalysis 89:113-120 <br> Molecular structure for the coordination complex compounds were taken from Hirsch, A 1994. The Chemistry of the Fullerenes. New York: Thieme |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ These simplified data were adjusted based on photon wavelength values from the experimental spectra.

